ECON F342: APPLIED ECONOMETRICS
MID SEMESTER EXAMINATION (CLOSED BOOK)

## NOTE:

- Write your Name and ID No on your all pages of answer sheets.
- Leave sufficient margins on all sides of the answer sheet.
- This is a closed-book and closed-notes exam. You may use a calculator if you wish.
- Cell phones or any other electronic and communication devices are not allowed. Any discussion or otherwise inappropriate communication between examinees, as well as the appearance of any unnecessary material, will be dealt with severely.
- This exam contains TWO SECTIONS:

SECTION -1: Short answer
SECTION -II: Long Answer

- Attempt all questions. Answer to the point and show your work. Start answering all parts of a question at one place. Write legibly. Illegible answers carry no weightage. Clearly indicate your final answer to each question.
- If required write the assumptions if any, clearly, and start answering the question.
- Note that you have 90 minutes to complete this exam.


## A1 Answer to the point. No partial credits $(6 \times 3.0=18.00)$

a) Mention the two important disadvantages associated with non-nested alternative test like Davidson-MacKinnon test?
b) What does it mean for a time series to be causal?
c) Define, precisely, what it means for a time series $Y t$ to be an AR (1) series where $Y_{t}=\phi Y_{t-1}+\varepsilon_{t}$.

What condition will ensure that an AR (1) series will be stationary and causal.
d) Suppose that $Y t$ is a stationary, causal AR (1) series.

$$
Y_{t}=\phi Y_{t-1}+\varepsilon_{t}
$$

Describe, as exactly as you can, the type of ARMA series which is produced by taking simple differences of $Y t$. Also compute the variance of the differenced series.
e) Consider the following MA (2) model: $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{t}}=0.3+0.5 \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{t}-1}-0.4 \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{t}-2}+\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{t}}$

What is the optimal two-step ahead forecast from this model, made at time $t$, if the values of the residuals from the model at time $t$ and $t-1$ were 0.6 and -0.1 respectively and the values of the actual series y at time $\mathrm{t}-1$ was -0.4 ?
f) You plan to run an instrumental variables regression (a two-stage least squares model). You run a first-stage regression in order to examine the relationship between your candidate instrument and the variable you suspect of being endogenous in the main regression. Which concern would you have if the first-stage regression results were as indicated: (Choose the correct best answer from the given options and briefly explain)
i. the relationship between the candidate instrument and the endogenous regressor seems weak - the $t$-stat is low, the $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ is low, and the F-stat is low. Consequently, you suspect that the instrument might not be valid.
ii. the relationship between the candidate instrument and the endogenous regressor seems strong - conditions opposite of those listed in (A). Consequently, you suspect that the instrument might not be valid.
iii. the relationship between the candidate instrument and the endogenous regressor seems weak. Consequently, you suspect that the instrument might lead to imprecise estimates of the effect of interest in the second stage.

A2 Which of the following problems make the OLS estimator of $\beta_{1}$ inconsistent?
I. Nonzero correlation between x 1 and u .
II. Classical measurement error in $\mathrm{x}_{1}$.
III. Classical measurement error in y .
IV. A sampling probability that depends on $\mathrm{x}_{1}$.
V. A sampling probability that depends on $y$.

A3 Determine the following statement is TRUE or FALSE: 'Measurement error in the dependent variable is more serious than measurement error in the independent variables.'

## SECTION - 1: Long answer questions.

B1 A researcher has considered the following population regression model:
$Y_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} X_{i}+u_{i}$ with $\operatorname{Cov}\left(X_{i}, u_{i}\right)=0$.
He noted that $Y_{i}$ but does not observe $X_{i}$, instead he observed a noisy measure $X_{i}^{*}=X_{i}+\varepsilon_{i}$, where $\varepsilon_{i}=\varepsilon$ (it is identical for all i).
The researcher has a large sample with i.i.d observations on Yi and $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}{ }^{*}$ and estimates the following equation by OLS:
$Y_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} X_{i}^{*}+v_{i}$
What is $\operatorname{Cov}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}^{*}, \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ ? Also comment that is the OLS estimator of $\beta_{1}$ is consistent?

B2 Consider an econometric model where two variables Y and X are jointly determined by the following equations:
$Y=\beta_{1}+\beta_{2} X+\beta_{3} Z+U$
$X=\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2} Y+V$
The Greek letters denote unknown parameters, U and V are model errors, mutually uncorrelated, with zero mean, and Z is an exogenous variable, independent of the errors.
a) Explain with detailed arguments, whether $\beta_{2}$ are $\alpha_{2}$ identified under the information provided in the problem provided in the problem.
b) Is the ordinary least squares (OLS) estimate of $\alpha_{2}$ in the equation (2) above is asymptotically biased in general? What is your conclusion if $\beta_{2}=0$ ? Which would be your conclusion if $\alpha_{2} \beta_{2}=1$ ?
c) Explain with detailed arguments whether there is any valid instrument to estimate $\alpha_{2}$. If your answer is positive, demonstrate that the corresponding instrumental variable (IV) estimate is consistent.
d) Is the Two Stage Least Squares (2SLS) estimate of $\alpha_{2}$ is identical to the instrumental (IV) estimate in part (c).

Recall that the 2SLS estimate is the OLS estimate of $\alpha_{2}$ in model (2) when Y is replaced by its predicted (fitted) values by OLS in the reduced form equation: $\mathrm{Y}=\Upsilon_{1}+r_{2} \mathrm{Z}+\mathrm{W}$. where W is an error term and the GREEK LETTERS continue to be parameters. Is it consistent?

